

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,607	06/20/2006	Jun Nishikawa	09812.0098	2625	
22852 FINNEGAN I	7590 01/19/201 HENDERSON, FARAF	EXAMINER			
LLP			JOHNSTON, PHILLIP A		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2881		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/19/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/583,607	NISHIKAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PHILLIP A. JOHNSTON	2881	

	PHILLIP A. JOHNSTON	2881	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no ovent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office the range reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compi filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b. (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belob (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. \(\times \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\tilde{\tilde{L}} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (condition for allowand	ce because:
13. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
/ROBERT KIM/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2881			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the limitations of claim 14, as broadly interpreted by the examiner, require only that the first optical system and second optical system form an intermediate image of a surface, which is taught as illumination path 15a and image path 15b in Davis at Col. 3, line 3-67; Col. 4, line 1-33 and shown in Figure 3. The claim 14 limitations do not state that each optical system must form images independently, nor where the images are to be located in the optical paths of these optical systems; for example, the claim 14 limitations state; "a first optical system that forms an intermediate image of the primary image surface, and a second optical systems having a concave reflector that forms the secondary image surface according to the intermediate image,". Therefore one of ordinary skill would conclude from this claim language that both optical paths of the oldest systems of Davis are used in combination to form the single intermediate image in the back focal plane of projection lens 37c as shown in Figure 3 and the